GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

FACTS | RECORDS | ACCOUNTABILITY

INDEPENDENT LOCAL NEWS AND PUBLIC-RECORDS REPORTING

OCTOBER 23, 2026 | VOL. 1, NO. 4

Animal Control Resolution Was Added From the Floor; the Administrator Cited Insurance Cost, the Dog Law and Chain of Command

The agenda posted on BoardBook listed one new-business item. At the start of the Oct. 20 meeting a resolution for animal control was added. The recording shows what the administrator said before the 5-0 vote.

BY W.E. | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER | OCT. 23, 2026

GRATIOT COUNTY — The animal control resolution the Board of Commissioners adopted on Oct. 20 is not on the agenda posted on BoardBook. That agenda lists one item under new business, a conservation district agreement. On the county's recording, an animal control resolution is named after the chair asks for additions to the agenda (0:46), and the board approves the agenda "as amended" moments later. This report sets out what the recording shows beyond our Oct. 21 report. The words attributed to the administrator and commissioners are as the recording has them.

THE AGENDA

BoardBook lists the meeting as a Regular Full Board Meeting (meeting 770982). Its agenda shows nothing under item E.1, additions and deletions, and lists a single new-business item, K.1, Resolution 26-467 for the Gratiot Conservation District. As of Oct. 23 it shows no animal control item. On the recording, the item is slotted as new business number two, and the agenda is approved as amended. The recording does not show when the resolution was written, or whether commissioners had it before the meeting began. No animal control document is posted with the agenda.

THE ADMINISTRATOR'S ACCOUNT OF THE INSURANCE REVIEW

County Administrator Timothy Dolehanty introduced the item at about 44 minutes (44:18). He said he and the deputy administrator had met with the county's liability carrier, the Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority (MMRMA), about animal control officers carrying a sidearm and having a second firearm in the vehicle. He said that meeting, held Monday, Oct. 12, had been arranged before the Sept. 15 board meeting (45:19). He said the sheriff and the animal control director attended with two MMRMA representatives, one of them a former police chief working for the insurer.

He said the officers' training is similar to what a person receives for a concealed pistol permit, that they qualify at the sheriff's range, and that they are not certified through the Michigan Commission on Law Enforcement Standards (MCOLES). He said officers under the sheriff are also allowed to self-dispatch to law enforcement calls. He said the insurer's representatives were surprised, and that the insurer's underwriters concluded the risk was "far greater than what we were currently being charged for." As of Thursday, Oct. 15, he said, the insurer added an endorsement costing an additional $95,000 a year, plus roughly $8,000 a year in workers' compensation, "about another 100,000 a year" in all (53:10).

He said the insurer might reduce the endorsement if the board acted but did not guarantee it, and would not say more "until and unless the board says this is what we want to do." He said waiting until the Nov. 3 meeting would cost more than $5,000, "that's the price to wait" (56:49).

TWO RESOLUTIONS AND A CHAIN OF COMMAND

He presented two alternatives. One kept Animal Control under the sheriff and directed the sheriff to end officers' firearms access and law-enforcement response. The other assigned the agency to the administrator's office, effective immediately, and directed the administrator to do the same. He explained the difference by authority: the sheriff is an elected official and co-employer, so a board direction to him "does not guarantee" compliance and he is "under no obligation to follow your direction." Of the second option he said: "You direct me to do that, either I do it or I'm history" (55:09). A third provision of the second resolution authorizes the administrator to set policy and operations for the agency, subject to board policies, collective bargaining agreements and state and federal law.

THE DOG LAW

The administrator said he had planned to tell the board that the county is "not exactly compliant" with the Dog Law of 1919 (50:59). He described two models: hiring animal control officers for two-year terms, or adopting an animal control ordinance. Of the county's current setup he said, "we just have done it without an ordinance." The resolution cites Section 29a of the law. The text of that section, MCL 287.289a, says a county board "by ordinance may establish an animal control agency," that the agency "shall employ at least 1 animal control officer," and that the board may assign the agency to any existing county department.

THE SHERIFF

The administrator said Sheriff Tom Clark was at a conference in Traverse City and had asked the board to table the matter until the Nov. 3 meeting (56:42). The recording contains no statement from the sheriff or from the animal control director on the resolution.

Continues online, with all sources: gcwhistleblower.com/articles/animal-control-resolution-added-from-the-floor-administrator-cited-insurance

RECORDS TO WATCH AND THE REQUESTS LOG ON PAGE 5



Gratiot County Courthouse, Ithaca. Photo: rossograph, CC BY-SA 4.0; cropped.

INSIDE

PAGE 2 | Why We Started, What We Have Tried, and What We Found Is Working

PAGE 2 | Gratiot County Administrator's Record: Resignations After Board Concerns, Contract Delays, and a Current Refusal to Answer Questions

PAGE 2 | Tuesday, Oct. 6: Two Public Meetings, and Your Chance to Be Heard

PAGE 3 | County Packet: Courts Say Monthly Reports Mean More Work, Four Labor Units Are in Talks, Benefits Move to MESSA in January

PAGE 3 | Board Rescinds Animal Control Firearms Authorization Less Than 20 Months After Placing Department Under Sheriff

PAGE 3 | Board Funds Conservation District at Up to $90,000 on a 3–2 Vote After the $134,187 Version Did Not Pass

PAGE 4 | October 20 Board of Commissioners Meeting Was Not Livestreamed

PAGE 4 | Airport Authority: Runway Lighting Work Runs Toward January, Fuel Gallons Down but Sales Above Budget

PAGE 4 | Administrator Will Miss Nov. 3 Meeting, Says Performance Evaluation Is Planned for Nov. 17

PAGE 5 | The Requests for Comment Log and records to watch.

PAGE 6 | Corrections & Updates, how to reach us and about us.

OUR STANDARD

Facts are attributed to records. Open questions are labeled as open. Corrections are logged in public and published reports are not silently rewritten.

From the County Desk

3 reports published Oct. 2 to Oct. 21, 2026. Each full report, with its sources, is at gcwhistleblower.com.

3 REPORTS | PUBLISHED OCT. 2 TO OCT. 21 | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

PUBLISHER'S NOTE | GRATIOT COUNTY | OCT. 3, 2026



Farm field near Ithaca, Gratiot County. Photo: Christian Collins, CC BY 2.0; cropped, with our logo added.

Why We Started, What We Have Tried, and What We Found Is Working

A publisher's account and explainer: how we got here, what happened when we asked county offices questions, what we found when we searched for a rule about who may speak to the press, and what we are changing.

BY W.E. | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

GRATIOT COUNTY — This is an account by the people behind this publication, labeled as such: part explanation, part record of what we have done and found, written to be checked. Where it states a fact, it names the document.

WHY WE STARTED

We are residents of this county. We live with the results of what local government decides: the tax bill, the road, the school bond, the budget. Every one of us has asked at some point where our tax money is going. The information is out there, but it is spread across the county site, city sites, school sites and state databases, and buried in packets of 100 pages or more, written for people who already know what they are looking for. Most people work and raise families and do not have the time. So we started reading the documents and putting them next to each claim, so no one has to take our word for it.

WHAT WE HAVE ATTEMPTED

- We read agendas, audits, budgets, minutes and contracts and publish what they say, with the document linked.
- We asked questions. On Sept. 17 we emailed a county department. The director forwarded the email to the county administrator, who serves as the Board's spokesperson, and he replied: "I will not respond to questions posed from anonymous persons." We sent one follow-up asking whether department staff may answer us directly or whether everything must go through his office. As of Oct. 3 there has been no reply. Both emails are published in full. We have reached out to more than one office and asked more than one question.
- On Oct. 2 we sent questions to the City of St. Louis, federal energy regulators and the Michigan Public Power Agency, asking for answers by Oct. 9.

Full report and sources: gcwhistleblower.com/articles/why-we-started-what-we-tried-and-what-we-found-is-working

COUNTY GOVERNMENT | GRATIOT COUNTY | OCT. 21, 2026



Gratiot County Courthouse, Ithaca. Photo: rossograph, CC BY-SA 4.0; cropped.

Gratiot County Administrator's Record: Resignations After Board Concerns, Contract Delays, and a Current Refusal to Answer Questions

Public records from the three counties where Administrator Timothy Dolehanty held the same job show resignations or contract questions that followed board concerns. In Gratiot, he has said in writing he will not answer questions from anonymous persons.


BY W.E. | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

GRATIOT COUNTY — Gratiot County Administrator Timothy Dolehanty has declined to answer questions from unnamed sources about board meetings and county operations. Public records from the three counties where he previously held the same top administrative job show resignations or contract questions that followed board concerns, a hostile-work-environment allegation, and a personnel discussion that resulted in a separation payment.

Dolehanty began the Gratiot position on December 1, 2025, under a three-year contract approved by a unanimous Board of Commissioners vote after interviews with three finalists. The county's department-head salary schedule lists the administrator role at Grade 7. He previously served as administrator and controller in Ogemaw County from 2020 to 2025, in Ingham County from 2013 to 2020, and in Isabella County from 2004 to 2013. Earlier positions included Chippewa County controller, Wexford County administrator, and manager for the Charter Township of Caledonia. He holds bachelor's and master's degrees from Central Michigan University.

Full report and sources: gcwhistleblower.com/articles/gratiot-county-administrators-record-resignations-after-board-concerns

PUBLIC MEETINGS | GRATIOT COUNTY | OCT. 2, 2026



The two Oct. 6 meetings, from the county's posted agenda and the St. Louis council packet. Graphic: Gratiot County Whistleblower.

Tuesday, Oct. 6: Two Public Meetings, and Your Chance to Be Heard

The county board meets at 4:30 p.m. and the St. Louis City Council at 6:00 p.m. Both agendas are posted and both set aside time for public comment. One closed-session item is on the county agenda; the rest of that meeting is open.

BY W.E. | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

GRATIOT COUNTY — Residents have two chances on Tuesday, Oct. 6, to ask questions of local officials in person. The Gratiot County Board of Commissioners meets at 4:30 p.m. at the courthouse in Ithaca, and the St. Louis City Council meets at 6:00 p.m. at City Hall. Both bodies have posted their agendas, and both agendas include public comment.

COUNTY BOARD: 4:30 P.M., GRATIOT COUNTY COURTHOUSE

The county lists the Oct. 6 meeting as a "Regular Full Board Meeting" at the Gratiot County Courthouse, 214 E. Center St., Ithaca. The agenda was posted Oct. 1. It sets aside "brief public comment on agenda items" near the start, and "additional public comment" near the end. A note on the agenda says each period is limited to one 3-minute comment.

Business on the agenda includes the 2026 apportionment report (Resolution 26-463), a $128,740 Commission on Aging parking lot proposal (26-464), a loan agreement for Drain No. 050 (26-465), agreements with MSU Extension, the Conservation District and a clinical-services provider (26-466, 26-467, 26-469), a grant agreement (26-468) and the claims for the period. This publication has reported on the apportionment report, the Drain No. 050 loan and the agreements with blank dollar amounts.

Full report and sources: gcwhistleblower.com/articles/tuesday-oct-6-two-public-meetings-and-your-chance-to-be-heard

From the County Desk (Continued)

3 reports published Oct. 21 to Oct. 23, 2026. Each full report, with its sources, is at gcwhistleblower.com.

3 REPORTS | PUBLISHED OCT. 21 TO OCT. 23 | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

COUNTY GOVERNMENT | GRATIOT COUNTY | OCT. 23, 2026



Farm field near Ithaca, Gratiot County. Photo: Christian Collins, CC BY 2.0; cropped, with our logo added.

County Packet: Courts Say Monthly Reports Mean More Work, Four Labor Units Are in Talks, Benefits Move to MESSA in January

Documents posted for the Oct. 20 meeting show the courts saying monthly reports would take “a large amount of additional work,” four bargaining units in negotiations, a code enforcement position being planned and $35.6 million across county funds.

BY W.E. | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

GRATIOT COUNTY — The packet for the Oct. 20 Board of Commissioners meeting includes an email in which a court official says the county administrator’s request for monthly court reports would take “a large amount of additional work,” a labor update showing four bargaining units in negotiations, and a notice that county employees move to MESSA for benefits on Jan. 1. The documents are posted on BoardBook; the figures below are from them unless noted.

COURTS ON MONTHLY REPORTS

An Oct. 9 email from Jennifer Wood to the county administrator says that, after speaking with Chief Judge Lisa Sullivan, the courts “like to be good partners with the County funding unit,” but that monthly reports from all of the courts “would require a large amount of additional work.” She wrote that the courts already file quarterly and annual reports with the State Court Administrative Office, linked public statistics for the county, and invited the administrator to say what specific information he wants. The email is posted as the packet’s court reports attachment.

Full report and sources: gcwhistleblower.com/articles/county-packet-courts-say-monthly-reports-mean-more-work-four-labor-units-in-talks

PUBLIC SAFETY | GRATIOT COUNTY | OCT. 21, 2026



Gratiot County Courthouse, Ithaca. Photo: rossograph, CC BY-SA 4.0; cropped.

Board Rescinds Animal Control Firearms Authorization Less Than 20 Months After Placing Department Under Sheriff

Resolution 26-468 passed on a unanimous roll-call vote Oct. 20. It moves Animal Control from the sheriff’s office to the county administrator and ends officers’ authorization to carry firearms and to respond to law enforcement calls.

BY W.E. | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

GRATIOT COUNTY — The Gratiot County Board of Commissioners voted on October 20, 2026 to reassign animal control and immediately rescind authorization for animal control officers to carry or have access to firearms while on duty.

The meeting was a regularly scheduled Board of Commissioners meeting. The county’s published 2026 meeting schedule lists Tuesday, October 20, 2026 at 4:30 p.m. as a regular meeting (marked with an asterisk for the usual recess into the Airport and Finance committees). The county calendar entry is titled “Gratiot County Board of Commissioners Meeting.” The recording posted the next day is titled “Gratiot County Board of Commissioners Special Meeting 10/20/26.” No separate special-meeting notice appears in the posted materials. The agenda followed the structure of a regular meeting.

The meeting was not livestreamed. The recording was posted to the county YouTube channel the following day: youtu.be/mCIXhoOYlqI. The county’s Virtual Meetings page continues to state that meetings “will be live-streamed and will remain posted after the meeting is finished.”

WHAT THE RESOLUTION DOES

Resolution 26-468 passed on a unanimous roll-call vote (Bailey, Bunting, Gay, Sopocy, Owens). It does two things:

- Reassigns the animal control agency from the sheriff’s office back to the county administrator’s office, effective immediately.

Full report and sources: gcwhistleblower.com/articles/board-rescinds-animal-control-firearms-authorization-less-than-20-months

COUNTY FINANCES | GRATIOT COUNTY | OCT. 23, 2026



The Pine River at Lumberjack Road, Seville Township. The district’s plan names the Bad, Pine and Maple river watersheds. USDA NAIP aerial imagery via USGS, public domain; cropped.

Board Funds Conservation District at Up to $90,000 on a 3–2 Vote After the $134,187 Version Did Not Pass

The district asked for $134,187.04 for a watershed position, a part-time outreach job and matching funds. The board tabled it Oct. 6, rejected it 2–3 on Oct. 20, then approved a version of up to $90,000, 3–2.

BY W.E. | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

GRATIOT COUNTY — The Gratiot County Board of Commissioners voted 3–2 on Oct. 20 to fund the Gratiot Conservation District at up to $90,000 under Resolution 26-467, after a motion for the district’s full request of $134,187.04 did not pass, 2–3, on a recorded roll call. The board had tabled the request on Oct. 6 so a commissioner could “dive into the finances,” according to the county’s Oct. 6 minutes.

WHAT THE DISTRICT ASKED FOR

The resolution packet posted on BoardBook would approve an agreement for “performance-based stewardship services at a total cost not to exceed $134,187.04.” The agreement runs from about Oct. 21, 2026 through Sept. 30, 2027, either side may end it on 60 days’ written notice, and the district must deliver quarterly written progress reports to the county administrator. District staff are district employees, not county employees. The agreement refers to an Appendix A describing the services; the posted packet does not include it.

Full report and sources: gcwhistleblower.com/articles/board-funds-conservation-district-at-up-to-90000-on-3-2-vote

From the County Desk (Continued)

3 reports published Oct. 20 to Oct. 23, 2026. Each full report, with its sources, is at gcwhistleblower.com.

3 REPORTS | PUBLISHED OCT. 20 TO OCT. 23 | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

OPEN MEETINGS | GRATIOT COUNTY | OCT. 20, 2026



Gratiot County Courthouse, Ithaca. Photo: rossograph, CC BY-SA 4.0; cropped.

October 20 Board of Commissioners Meeting Was Not Livestreamed

The meeting that began at 4:30 p.m. was not streamed on the county's YouTube channel, though its Virtual Meetings page says meetings will be live-streamed. The Open Meetings Act does not require it. The report includes a section labeled as our opinion.

BY W.E. | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

GRATIOT COUNTY — The Oct. 20 Gratiot County Board of Commissioners meeting was not livestreamed.

The meeting that started at 4:30 p.m. on Oct. 20 was not streamed on the county's YouTube channel. People who attended confirmed it was underway. The county's Virtual Meetings page still says meetings "will be live-streamed and will remain posted after the meeting is finished." Recent meetings, including October 6, are marked on the channel as having been live.

The Open Meetings Act does not require livestreaming. Meetings still have to be open to the public, and people can still attend and record. Minutes still have to be kept. Not streaming does not break the law. It does remove the real-time remote access the county has been advertising and providing.

Hopefully a recording may be posted the next day. As of the evening of Oct. 20, that had not happened. It was not clear yet whether residents would get full access through the county's own channel. People who attended can still share what they recorded. Official minutes will be the formal record once approved. Meeting materials remain available on BoardBook.

OPINION OF GC WHISTLEBLOWER

This is our first official opinion on the decision not to livestream the meeting. We see it as a significant step backward in transparency. The county has been giving residents the ability to watch meetings as they happen without being in the room. That option was not available on Oct. 20. Anyone who could not get to the courthouse lost the convenience the county itself has described as its practice.

Full report and sources: gcwhistleblower.com/articles/october-20-board-of-commissioners-meeting-was-not-livestreamed

AIRPORT | GRATIOT COUNTY | OCT. 23, 2026



Gratiot Community Airport on West Seaman Road, Arcada Township. USDA NAIP aerial imagery via USGS, public domain; cropped.

Airport Authority: Runway Lighting Work Runs Toward January, Fuel Gallons Down but Sales Above Budget

The airport manager said jet fuel sales were about 6,600 gallons below last year and runway lighting materials are 12 to 14 weeks out. The financial report shows fuel sales of $190,838.58 against a $175,000 budget.

BY W.E. | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

GRATIOT COUNTY — The Gratiot County Airport Authority met Oct. 20 as the commissioners recessed from their regular meeting into it (1:07:11). The airport manager told the authority that jet fuel sales were about 6,600 gallons below last year, that materials for the runway lighting project are 12 to 14 weeks out, and that a state inspection was due the following Tuesday, according to the county's recording. The airport's financial report shows fuel sales above budget for the fiscal year that ended Sept. 30.

FUEL

The manager said jet fuel (Jet A) sales were about 6,600 gallons below the same point last year, 100 low-lead (100LL) aviation gasoline was about 2,100 gallons below through the first nine months, and there were 154 fewer fuel transactions (1:07:53). He said crop dusters used less fuel and sprayed fewer acres this year (he mentioned tar spot in corn), and that fuel prices were about $1.50 a gallon higher than a year ago and the latest Jet A delivery would cost more still.

The airport's Revenue and Expenditure Report for the period ending Sept. 30, 2026 shows fuel sales of $190,838.58 against an amended budget of $175,000 (109.05 percent), and fuel purchases of $128,213.39 against $145,000. It shows contractual services of $159,065.40 against a budget of $80,000. Federal grant revenue budgeted at $328,450 shows $0 recorded; state grant revenue is $101,828.74 against a $51,610 budget. Total revenues are $380,314.47 against a $650,970 budget, and total expenditures are $359,672.90 against $725,500.

Full report and sources: gcwhistleblower.com/articles/airport-authority-runway-lighting-work-runs-toward-january-fuel-gallons-down

COUNTY GOVERNMENT | GRATIOT COUNTY | OCT. 23, 2026



Downtown Ithaca in 2021. Photo: Radman 99 1999, CC BY-SA 4.0; cropped.

Administrator Will Miss Nov. 3 Meeting, Says Performance Evaluation Is Planned for Nov. 17

In his Oct. 20 report the administrator said his contract calls for an evaluation "usually in November." He said the board could do the performance review Nov. 17, after a meeting he will not attend.

BY W.E. | GRATIOT COUNTY WHISTLEBLOWER

GRATIOT COUNTY — County Administrator Timothy Dolehanty told the Board of Commissioners on Oct. 20 that he will not attend its Nov. 3 meeting, which falls on Election Day, and that a performance evaluation his contract calls for "usually in November" could be held Nov. 17, according to the county's recording of the meeting.

WHAT HE SAID ABOUT THE EVALUATION

In his report (14:00), the administrator said: "in my contract it says you will do a performance evaluation usually in November." He said he had asked the deputy administrator to work out what the evaluation would look like, that commissioners "get to talk about it without me here," which would "reduce some awkwardness," and that the board could do the performance review at its Nov. 17 meeting. The meeting minutes do not yet record any of this. His contract began Dec. 1, 2025, as described in our Oct. 21 report; this report has not seen the contract's evaluation clause.

DATES TO KNOW

- **Nov. 3:** the board's next meeting. The administrator said the deputy administrator will be there in his place. The meeting falls on Election Day.
- **Nov. 17:** Michigan Association of Counties executive director Stephan Currie is scheduled for a presentation of about 15 minutes. The administrator said the association tries to visit each county on a three-year cycle. He said the resolution for the tax capture plan for the Pine River Township housing development is the only item he knew of in the queue for that meeting, and that he would keep the agenda light.

Full report and sources: gcwhistleblower.com/articles/administrator-will-miss-nov-3-meeting-says-evaluation-planned-for-nov-17

Who We Asked, When, and What Came Back

Every request we send to a public official or agency is listed with the date sent, the date we asked for a reply and the result, and the full text is public.

PUBLIC RECORD | AS OF OCTOBER 23, 2026 | gcwhistleblower.com/requests

When we ask a public official or agency for comment, we list it with the date, who we asked and what came back, whether the answer helps us or not. The log records what happened and does not guess at why an office answered, declined or did not reply. Where an office answers, we publish the answer in full or link to it. We list offices and roles, not private individuals.

NONE DATED IN THIS EDITION

No request for comment dated Oct. 2 to Oct. 23 is listed in the log. Earlier requests and their results remain at gcwhistleblower.com/requests.

RECORDS TO WATCH

What Each Report Is Waiting On

WHY WE STARTED, WHAT WE HAVE TRIED, AND WHAT WE FOUND IS WORKING

The county's answer on any written media-routing policy and whether Policy 114 is in force; replies to our Oct. 2 requests (due Oct. 9); any reply to our Sept. 19 follow-up; and the Board's Rules of Organization when next amended.

TUESDAY, OCT. 6: TWO PUBLIC MEETINGS, AND YOUR CHANCE TO BE HEARD

The county board's Oct. 6 vote on each resolution and on any closed session; the St. Louis council's vote on the York Repair quote (item 11.B); and both bodies' minutes.

Corrections, How to Reach Us and Who We Are

Changes to our reporting in this period, and an honest account of why this publication is anonymous.

PUBLICATION STATEMENT | OCTOBER 23, 2026

CORRECTIONS & UPDATES

No corrections or updates are logged for Oct. 2 to Oct. 23. Every correction is dated, explained and kept on a permanent public log.

Full log: gcwhistleblower.com/corrections

REACH OUT

Email contactus@gcwhistleblower.com with tips, responses, corrections or records. Any official or organization named in these pages is welcome to respond, and responses are published in full.

OUR RULES

We start from the record. We ask before we publish and say what the answer was. Anyone named can answer at any time, and a reply is published in full. We label what is not settled, we do not guess at motives, and we correct in public. Read all fourteen rules at gcwhistleblower.com/how-we-work.

ABOUT US: HONEST ABOUT ANONYMITY

Who we are. Gratiot County Whistleblower is an independent local news publication. The people who run it do not publish their names. That is a deliberate choice, and you deserve to know the reasons: to protect residents and public employees who share information they are entitled to share, to keep attention on the records and not on who wrote the story, and to ask hard questions without fear of payback.

What it costs. You cannot check who is behind this. So we made the work checkable: every report links to the documents it rests on. Some officials will not answer an anonymous publication, and records requests under Michigan law need a real name, so volunteer public representatives contact offices under their own names (gcwhistleblower.com/volunteer). We are unpaid volunteers, have earned no money and are not yet a registered business.

How we are paid for. There is no paywall and we do not accept money from readers or payment for coverage from anyone. The site may carry clearly labeled advertising placed automatically by an ad network, and advertisers have no say in what we cover.

There is one address, contactus@gcwhistleblower.com, open to anyone, including anyone we have written about. A reply sent there is published.

PHOTO AND IMAGE CREDITS

Each caption in this edition names its credit; the credits are repeated here.

- Photo: rossograph, CC BY-SA 4.0; cropped.
- Photo: Christian Collins, CC BY 2.0; cropped, with our logo added.
- Graphic: Gratiot County Whistleblower.
- USDA NAIP aerial imagery via USGS, public domain; cropped.
- Photo: Radman 99 1999, CC BY-SA 4.0; cropped.

Earlier issues: Vol. 1, No. 1 (Sept. 18); Vol. 1, No. 2 (Sept. 30); Vol. 1, No. 3 (Oct. 2), at gcwhistleblower.com.